P. F 8-1900

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1894-95.

~~191~~

(K. R.)

File No. 76-94

Serial Nos. 1-5

Later references.

57-98

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-5

~~Part~~ B for Dec 96

SUBJECT.

Dispute regarding the Shanbhogi office of Akbari and other villages, Khiranani Taluk

---

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-19

Index

Gen. 31.] (1)

189 4 6383.

Pet: 289

From The Dy Commr
Mysore Distt

To The Chief Secretary to
the Dewan

Dated 17/11/94

Despatched

Received

General No. 578
No.

Enclosure V. p. with a
Kannada petn

Submits with report a petition from one Venkata-Krishnappa of Palhalli, Seringapatam Taluk, asking permission for water from Devaraya nala for his mango plantation.

17/11/94

Under the circumstances stated, Petitioner may be allowed to take water by pumping, subject to payment of ~~water~~ the proper water tax viz one fourth under Sec XIX of the revenue rules. —

9/2195

Rec'd 19/11

Put up a Copy of Govt. Proc dated 15 December 1888 referred to in the report

6142-7 / 892-7

General secy

Please put-up to Pro. Bk 20/11.

* Proceedings No. 6142-7 of 15th December 1888 was issued from the Secretary P.W.D and not by this Office.

SLB. 21/11/94

21/11

Submitted to the Chief Secretary

Submitted to Revenue

Under orders

Cancelled Rules)

LR 76-94-1

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

1

No. 3802

Name of Petitioner. Divisional Inspector - Law & Revenue - Chandrapur

Village. Partial of Bhadrawati and

Taluk. Bhadrawati Certain villages of Bhadrawati Taluk - Warora Taluk - Chandrapur

District. Chanda

Date of Arst. 7-6-95

Date of Receipt. 7-6-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 1

ABSTRACT.

Appeals against the decision by Asst. Collr. Distt Co. 4-8 of 18-4-95 - regarding the Classification of the above villages.

The case against which appeal is preferred is case in pursuance of decision No. 351 of 26-8-92 Classifying the land C. 37-4 - a being the Classification of Inferior Cultivable land by Divisional Inspector Classification Committee Revenue Department and that and the case contains the rates of Classification for various classes of land remaining permanently open to appeal against Classification and the appellant against the decision of the Collector dated the 18th of the Classification

i shall be obliged if you
the favor would be good enough
as soon as you receive this to send the amount
paid by Mr Richards -
the several items are: -

1. Rent to the 1st of January 1893 $ 25.00
2. Rent about 2 years ago (I think) was
removed from office for some cause or other -
3. That the Records & Post Records show that I was removed
from office for some offense the nature of which is not stated after
serving for 10 or 11 years. On the other hand I have been
told repeatedly by the Postmaster General
4. That in accordance with the above I was appointed Postmaster
by the President - duly qualified & entered upon the discharge
of the duties of the office - duly gave bond as required by law
Assistant Attorney General Jenks
On the 15th of March 1893 I received a letter from the
Postmaster General dated March 10. 1893 & duly qualified
which I have not up to the present time had any notice
(official) of the cancellation of the appointment and am still
held to the responsibility of the office and am ready
5. That the records of the Department show that I
have never been charged with any shortage
6. That the papers were returned about the 1st of April
7. That I applied for reinstatement by petition - by appearing
at the office although the time prescribed for appearance
8. That according to the records of 1893 the present incumbent
took charge of the office

I trust that the foregoing statements & arguments may meet the
approval of the Department & that my commission may be
returned by the 15th inst.

Yours truly

12/6

(6)

and that a stranger unconnected with his family should be appointed to the office. The Shanbhog was exempted from Criminal Prosecution in consideration of his age and of the payment of the full amount embezzled by him.

4. Under an authority conveyed in the Deputy Commissioner's No. 529 of the 23rd September 91 Mr. H. Ramachandra Rao, the then General Assistant Commissioner, by an order dated 9th November 1891 appointed one Bassettihalli Idris his appah son-in-law of the dismissed individual as a gumasta for the temporary discharge of the duties of the office on the understanding that such appointment was not to confer on the nominee any right to the Shanbhogaii.

5. Subsequently the Amildar acting under the orders of the Deputy Commissioner issued a notice to the said Idris informing him that if within a given he paid up Rs 278-14-0 being the amount of irrecoverable arrears of revenue due to Government by the late shanbhog's

brother and others, the question of confirming him in his post would be considered but in default he would be removed from the office. Ishwappa accordingly paid the amount in March 1893.

6. The petitioner who had been agitating to get the Shanbhogui for himself having complained that though the amount referred to above was offered by him before it was paid by Ishwappa, the same was not accepted by the Amildar, some correspondence ensued, till at length it was decided by the Deputy Commissioner in his Hukum No. 418 dated 18th April 1895 that Ishwappa should be allowed to continue in the office and that a report as to the manner in which he discharged his duties should be submitted for final orders on the expiry of 2 years from July 1893.

7. The Amildar accordingly reported in his letter No. 49 of the 19th July 1895 that ever since he assumed charge of the Taluk which was in May 1894, the acting Shanbhog had been performing his duties satisfactorily. No further orders were passed on this report as the matter had gone up to Government in appeal.

1560

Gen. 31] 189

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 9500
Pet. No. 825
JUN. 96
... OFFICE.

Submission with reference to the 2nd Councillor's order on petition No 3302 dated 7-6-95.

24/6 Councillor

24/6

From The Deputy Commissioner ... district

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Revenue and General Departments

Dated 9th June 1896

Despatched 7th do.

Received

General No. 4730

No. 327

Enclosure No. file

Reporting on the petition from Timmarayappa of ...

The D.C.'s letter shows that no final orders have been passed by him re the ... of Krishnappa. The records will be sent back to him for disposal in the usual course when he will consider the claims of the petr as well. An intimation of this fact will be given to the petr by endorsement.

22/1/96

Petition March 18/6/96

24/6

Submit with papers.

24/6

Draft: Pro. Sub. 24/11

24/11

25/11/96

(4)

(9)

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

Read:-

Petition No 3302 dated 7th June 1895 from Timmarayappa of Anekal, appealing against order No 418 dated 18-4-95 of the Deputy Commr Kolar District, declining to appoint the petitioner as Shanbhog of Hebbani and other villages in the Chintamani Taluk and directing that the present Incumbent Krishnappa should be allowed to continue in the Office and that final orders would be passed on receipt of a report after two years as to the character of Krishnappa.

LR 76-94-4

2. Letter No 4730/1327 dated 9th June 1896 from the Dy Commr Kolar District reporting on the above petition and stating that no final orders could be passed regarding the

Shambhogi talk as the matter had gone up to Govt in appeal. (10)

Order thereon No. 4995 / P.823 dy 1-12-96
(recd)

The Deputy Commrs letter read above shows that no trial orders have been passed by him regarding the appointment of Krishnappa. The records will be returned to the Dy: Commnr for disposal in the usual course, when he will consider the claims of the petitioner as well.

Rdraft
1/12/96
Secretary

To
The Dy Commnr Kolar
Dist with records.

Noted
M.S 21-12-96

Kolar Deputy Commissioner's
Office
Dated the 2nd June, 92
Camp, Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to Government of
Mysore, Revenue and General Departments

L-R. 76-94-3

Sir,

Adverting to your official memorandum
No. 961 dated 5th June 1891 forwarding for
report a petition from Timmarayappa
Shanbhogue and the village Shanbhogue of
Melkani and the village Shanbhogue of Chintamani
Taluk, praying to remit the amount of
Rs. [illegible] mentioned in the petition
accompanying said memorandum, I have the
honour to report on the subject.

2. It will be observed from the petition
that Venkatachalapati, the Shanbhogue of the
village in question was found guilty of having
misappropriated Government money to the
extent of Rs. [illegible] and was dismissed by
Mr. Grant vide his order dated 18th July
1891.

3. This order directed that the Rs. [illegible] should be forfeited by Venkatachalapati and his sureties

and

5. The petitioner claims the office of Treasurer of the Lunda District which he states he was illegally removed from some time ago but the Auditor reports that the latter was dismissed by an order of the late Provisional Division dated 25th July, 1854 for having embezzled Government money and made certain illegal collections from, and that the now dismissed Lieutenant a stranger was appointed in his stead by an order of the same officer under date, the 9th September, 1854.

9. The Auditor further reports that there is nothing to prove the petitioner's allegation that Dr. Livingstone, the present incumbent, has misappropriated any Government money.

10. The enclosures of your memorandum dated, 29th ult. are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) J. Thurburn
Actg. Deputy Commissioner
Colonial Office

AC

[illegible]
4-12-96

[illegible]
3302

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

L.R. 76-94-5

[illegible]

1895 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1-12-96

By Order

1/12/96

C_2 for Secretary

Draft

Blue.

[illegible]